

Global Landscapes Forum

WHAT DO YOU MEAN BY 'BIOECONOMY'











RESEARCH PROGRAM ON Forests, Trees and Agroforestry



TECHNICAL DETAIL







AUTHORS:

- Frederico Brandão, CIFOR-ICRAF
- Inaiê Santos, Amazon Concertation
- Tatiana Schor SEDECTI - AM
- Roberto Waack, Amazon Concertation
- Vincent Gitz, FTA
- Alexandre Meybeck, FTA
- Bas Louman, TBI
- Li Yanxia, INBAR
- Cristina Rosero, CIRAD
- Marie-Gabrielle Pikety, CIRAD

HOSTS:

- The CGIAR Research Program on Forests, Trees and Agroforestry (FTA)
- Amazon Concertation
- Coalizão Brasil Clima, Florestas e Agricultura

BACKGROUND: THE DIFFERENT MEANINGS OF BIOECONOMY

In recent years, bioeconomy has emerged as a 'silver bullet' concept to halt deforestation, promote economic growth and reduce poverty in the Amazon. Its use is now central in the discourses of politicians, international organizations, the media, private actors and civil society alike. One of the main triggers behind this intended paradigm shift was the 'Amazon Third Way', which emerged as an alternative to creating protected areas for conservation purposes (the 'first way'), and to the resource-intensive agricultural/livestock and mining development path (the 'second way') (Nobre and Nobre 2018). Bioeconomy plans are now being designed across the Amazon, for example in the states of Amazonas and Pará in Brazil, and governments and NGOs are restructuring their organizations so as to create new bioeconomyrelated roles. At the same time, donors have started to include bioeconomy components in their priority funding themes, and consequently, bioeconomyrelated initiatives, like entrepreneurship hubs, have started to boom.¹

Despite this enthusiasm, there is little clarity about what exactly 'bioeconomy' means, in particular given the Amazon's vast socioeconomic and cultural diversity, and given the influence of the diverse schools of thought at play. Some critics argue that bioeconomy is just a new slogan for old ideas (Pülzl et al. 2014), while others distinguish at least three different visions (bio-technology, bio-resource and bioecology) (Bugge et al. 2016). European-centric concepts, like circular bioeconomy, have also gained traction, particularly those focused on biomass (Stegmann et al. 2020). There is also growing debate around power structures and actors' interests behind the bioeconomy narrative, which raises the question of who wins and who loses from this new paradigm (Böcher et al. 2020).

THE FOUNDATIONS OF A COMMON FRAMEWORK IN THE AMAZON

Motivated by the existence of different, perhaps conflicting, views on bioeconomy in the Amazon context, there are ongoing initiatives to develop common frameworks. One such case is the Uma Concertação pela Amazônia initiative, which is developing a framework to better understand the implications of different meanings of bioeconomy for business models, investments and public policies.

^{1 &}lt;u>https://fas-amazonia.org/hub-bioeconomia-amazonia/, https://www.paraterraboa.com/economia/para-planeja-ser-o-</u> maior-hub-de-bioeconomia-do-pais/, http://biotecamazonia.com.br



	Bioeconomy based on sociobiodiversity	Bioeconomy based on forest stewardship	Bioeconomy based on intensive (biomass) production
Predominant activities	 (Neo)extractivism Subsistence agriculture	 Native forest silviculture 	Planted forestsCommercial agriculture
Degree of anthropization and production volume	• Low	• Medium	• High
Relationship with biodiversity	 High dependency on biodiversity and high levels of contribution for its maintenance 	 Medium dependency on biodiversity 	 Low dependency on biodiversity Plantations based on monoculture contribute little and can even threaten biodiversity if not balanced with careful land/biome management
Relationship with climate change	 The mode of production is compatible with the maintenance of the CO₂ stocks High resilience to the effects of climate change 	 The mode of production is compatible with the maintenance of the CO₂ stocks 	 Products potentially reduce emissions since they replace fossil-based fuels and materials; however large-scale production exerts pressure for the conversion of forests, as well as on other resources Low resilience to the effects of climate change

Source: Adapted from the 'Framework for a bioeconomy in the Amazon' proposed by the Bioeconomy Working Group of the Amazon Concertation (*Uma Concertação pela Amazônia*).



The framework identifies characteristics that distinguish possible "promotion fronts" for bioeconomy, as they relate to different land use approaches and production systems. Rather than endorsing any specific type of bioeconomy, this framework aims to recognize the diversity of interpretations around the concept.

The framework also makes explicit some of the trade-offs and risks of the different types of bioeconomy, like the possible net increase in emissions, or biodiversity loss resulting from the replacement of fossil-based raw materials with the unrestricted cultivation of biomass. Such risks increase the relevance of monitoring direct and indirect impacts. The Science Panel for the Amazon recently acknowledged the lack of consensus around defining bioeconomy and highlighted some guiding principles – especially ethical ones – for promoting it as a concept (Abramovay et al. 2021).

In the same vein, the Forum for Innovation in Investments in the Amazonian Bioeconomy recently held adopted the key principles, namely: biodiversity conservation; expansion of biodiverse and sustainable forested areas; employment of science and technology for the sustainable use of sociobiodiversity; and reduction of social and territorial inequalities (SEDECTI-AM 2021).

Considering that discussions about bioeconomy have unfolded separately from regions in other biomes and even neglecting urban areas in the Amazon, it is crucial to reflect upon how bioeconomy relate to several missing aspects, such as territorial zoning, social inclusion including gender issues -, industrial policy and regional development in the Pan-Amazonia.

CURRENT QUESTIONS AND CHALLENGES

What does bioeconomy mean for Amazonians?

Are local populations attracted to and represented by existing bioeconomy strategies?

Does the diversity of perceptions and approaches lead to cooperation or conflict?

What type of change will be driven by the different bioeconomy strategies?

OBJECTIVES OF THE SESSION

The objectives of this session are: • to invite voices from different Amazonian geographies, populations and stakeholders to reflect

- on bioeconomy
- to contribute to strengthening endogenous • development processes and narratives
- to contribute to developing a common • and globally
- to provide inputs to feed global discussions • on the potential role of bioeconomy for transformational change.

REFERENCES

Abramovay R,; Ferreira J. et al. 2021. The new bioeconomy in the Amazon: Opportunities and challenges for a healthy standing forest and flowing rivers. Science Panel for the Amazon, Working Group 11. <u>https://www.theamazonwewant.org/wp-content/</u> uploads/2021/08/SPA-Chapter-30-PC-The-New-Bioeconomyin-the-Amazon-Opportunities-and-Challenges-for-a-Healthy-Standing-Forest-and-Flowing-Rivers.pdf Amazon Concertation, Bioeconomy Working Group. 9 February 2021. The value of diversity for the bioeconomy. <u>https://pagina22.com.</u> br/2021/02/09/the-value-of-diversity-for-the-bioeconomy/.

understanding of bioeconomy, both for the Amazon

- Böcher M, Töller AE, Perbandt D, Beer K and Vogelpohl T. 2020. Research trends: Bioeconomy politics and governance. Forest Policy and Economics 118:102219.
- Bugge M M, Hansen T and Klitkou A. 2016. What is the Bioeconomy? A review of the literature. Sustainability 8(7).
- Nobre I and Nobre CA. 2018. The Amazonia Third Way initiative: The role of technology to unveil the potential of a novel tropical biodiversity-based economy. In Loures LC (ed.), Land Use - Assessing the Past, Envisioning the Future. IntechOpen.
- Pülzl H, Kleinschmit D and Arts B. 2014. Bioeconomy an emerging meta-discourse affecting forest discourses? Scandinavian Journal of Forest Research 29(4):386-393.
- Secretaria de Estado de Desenvolvimento Econômico, Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação do Amazonas. 2021. Notas Técnicas: Ciência, Tecnologia e Inovação na Bioeconomia Amazônica. Diretrizes para a Construção Conceitual da Bioeconomia no Amazonas / SEDECTI - AM, Manaus: Governo do Amazonas.
- Stegmann P, Londo M and Junginger M. 2020. The circular bioeconomy: Its elements and role in European bioeconomy clusters. Resources, Conservation and Recycling X 6: 100029.



GLOBAL LANDSCAPES FORUM

The <u>Global Landscapes Forum (GLF)</u> is the world's largest knowledge-led platform on integrated land use, dedicated to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals and Paris Climate Agreement. The Forum takes a holistic approach to create sustainable landscapes that are productive, prosperous, equitable and resilient and considers five cohesive themes of food and livelihood, landscape restoration, rights, finance and measuring progress. It is led by the Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR), in collaboration with its co-founders UN Environment Programme and the World Bank and Charter Members.

Charter Members: CIAT, CIFOR, CIRAD, Climate Focus, Conservation International, Crop Trust, EcoAgriculture Partners, EFI, Evergreen Agriculture, FSC, GEF, GIZ, ICIMOD, IFOAM - Organics International, ILRI, INBAR, IPMG, IUFRO, Rainforest Alliance, Rare, RRI, SAN, UN Environment Programme, Wageningen Centre for Development Innovation, part of Wageningen Research, WFO, World Agroforestry, World Bank Group, WRI, WWF International, Youth in Landscapes Initiative

TIPPING POINT

Solutions 21-23 September **from the** 2021 **Inside Out** #GLFAmazonia

Funding partners

Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety

for Economic Cooperation and Development



THE GOVERNMENT OF THE GRAND DUCHY OF LUXEMBOUR



globallandscapesforum.org



