

Understanding landscape restoration options in Kenya

Risks and opportunities for advancing gender equality

Ana Maria Paez Valencia^a and Mary Crossland^{a,b}

Key messages

- ▶ Agricultural technologies for land restoration present both opportunities and risks for women's empowerment.
- ▶ Activities such as digging planting basins can increase women's autonomy to carry out farming activities that usually require male assistance, however they take additional time and labor.
- ▶ Planting fruit trees brings benefits for both men and women farmers, without significant issues relating to labor or timing, however water availability is a constraining factor.
- ▶ To ensure restoration efforts advance gender equality rather than perpetuate existing inequalities, projects need to assess these potential synergies and trade-offs, involving both men and women in the design of project activities so as to meet their needs and ensure that benefits outweigh costs.

Background

Given their different roles, responsibilities, access to and control of resources, the costs and benefits of land restoration are likely to differ for men and women. Yet, many restoration projects fail to consider gender dimensions when designing their interventions. Efforts to restore agricultural lands are often knowledge- and labor-intensive, and risk increasing women's already heavy workloads. They reduce time available for other economic and non-economic activities (Berti et al. 2004). Women may also lose control of land and production as land is restored and becomes more productive (Theis et al. 2018). Assessing the opportunities and risks that land restoration presents for both men and women is therefore key to the design of equitable and sustainable restoration initiatives.

The World Agroforestry Centre-led project entitled 'Restoration of degraded land for food security and poverty reduction in East Africa and the Sahel: Taking successes in land restoration to scale', is an EC-IFAD funded initiative developing innovative ways to achieve the scaling of land restoration through embedding research in development. It does this by collaborating with development programs to systematically test promising restoration options across a range of contexts. In the eastern drylands of Kenya, the project is working with over 1,800 farmers across Kitui, Makueni and Machakos counties to implement on-farm comparisons of various land restoration options, including different tree planting practices and species and the use of planting basins. Planting basins is a simple soil water conservation technique, where small holes are dug and crops planted within them. These basins reduce surface water run-off and increase water availability for the crop, improving plant survival and growth. In Kenya, over 500 farmers have been comparing the performance of these basins to that of their usual cultivation practices (e.g. oxen and plough). This includes trying different sized holes and

^a World Agroforestry Centre, Nairobi, Kenya

^b Bangor University, Bangor, UK

soil amendments. Around 1,400 farmers are also involved in testing different tree planting practices, comparing the effect of different sized planting holes, watering regimes and soil amendments on the survival of various tree species. Through monitoring the performance of these planned comparisons, the project aims to better understand which restoration options work best where, why and for whom.

Using methods adapted from the INGENAES toolkit ‘Assessing how agricultural technologies can change gender dynamics and food security outcomes’ (INGENAES 2017), we explore the risks and opportunities that planting basins and tree planting present for advancing gender equality, focusing on how men and women

control and benefit from the interventions, and the differentiated impacts on their time and labor. Here, we present initial insights from our assessment structured around potential risks and opportunities.

Preliminary insights

Risk/opportunity: Planting basins may alter the traditional division of labor between men and women, with respect to land preparation activities. Monitoring the planting basins revealed a higher incidence of female-only labor used to dig the basins, compared to the typical planting practices utilizing an oxen and plough (Figure 1). This suggests a potential shift in labor between men and women with the uptake of basins,




Figure 1. Comparison of men and women’s involvement in land preparation using planting basins and farmers’ usual planting practice (e.g. ploughing), based on monitoring data from February 2017.



On-farm comparison of planting basins (left) and a farmer’s normal planting practice (right). Mitito Andei, Makueni County, Kenya (Photo by Mary Crossland)

presenting both a risk and opportunity for women’s empowerment. For example, women reported that digging basins has limited their ability to perform other tasks, such as collecting firewood and water. On the other hand, the use of planting basins has increased their autonomy to carry out farming activities that previously required male assistance (e.g. ploughing).

Opportunity: Planting basins have altered the timing of farming activities. Planting crops before the start of the rainy season is important for successful germination. However, the basins are dug during the dry season, while traditional land preparation using a plough occurs closer to the start of the rains. Some farmers have reported having to wait for the use of a hired plough and, as a result, planting late. Since basins can be dug throughout the dry season, farmers do not have to wait for the use of a plough and are able to plant

in time for the rains. This may be a particularly important benefit for women; although they may take on more responsibility for on-farm activities, they typically have less access to resources such as an oxen and plough.

Opportunity: Decision-making dynamics are changing in the region. In households where few or no men are available full-time on the farm (e.g. have taken jobs in towns or cities), and even when these are still considered male-headed, a high percentage of women reported making decisions about the use of basins on their own (Figure 2). Even where spouses are present full-time on the farm, female farmers have reported increased participation in decision-making, compared to five years ago.

Opportunity: Unlike planting basins, activities related to the planting and management of trees were not perceived to substantially affect workloads or timing of other activities on the farm – by both women and men. Both recognized the value of planting trees on farms in terms of their potential to generate income (e.g. through selling of fruit and/or timber) and for the environmental services they provide.

Risk: Restrictions on women’s mobility and the availability of water influence the location of trees on farms. Women reported planting trees around the homestead, where they can care for them more easily. Men reported planting trees both around the homesteads and in the fields, highlighting moisture retention and nitrogen fixation as benefits of having trees on croplands.




Women involved in a training workshop on how to construct planting basins. Kalawa, Makueni County, Kenya (Photo by Ake Mamo)



Newly dug planting basins on a farm. Mwingi, Kitui County, Kenya (Photo by Ake Mamo)

Households where men are less involved with on-farm activities



Households where men are more involved with on-farm activities




Figure 2. Comparison of who was involved in deciding whether to try planting basins, between households where men are involved with farming activities (i.e. land preparation, planting, weeding) and those where they are not involved, based on monitoring data from February 2017.

Recommendations and future research

- To ensure that benefits associated with restoration outweigh any associated increases in workloads, or actually reduce workloads, project teams should collect data disaggregated by sex and other relevant social differentiation factors (e.g. age, ethnic group). In particular, understanding the implications of different technologies and management practices in terms of an individual's time and labor requirements, is critical for designing actions to either blunt any negative impacts or magnify those that are positive.
- Technology dissemination is not gender neutral. The way in which farmers are involved in restoration initiatives can influence women's agency, and perceptions around women's roles and capacities. For example, the 'planned comparisons' approach encourages on-farm experimentation that is: farmer-designed and managed; based on their needs and circumstances; and can contribute to increasing women's agency and participation in farming decision-making. Closer monitoring of the effects of this type of technology dissemination approach is needed to better understand whether, how and in what circumstances 'planned comparisons' can enhance agency and empowerment.

Acknowledgements

The 'Restoration of degraded land for food security and poverty reduction in East Africa and the Sahel: Taking successes in land restoration to scale' project is an EC-IFAD funded initiative led by the World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF): <http://www.worldagroforestry.org/project/restoration-degraded-land-food-security-and-poverty-reduction-east-africa-and-sahel-taking>

References

- Berti PR, Krusevec J and Fitzgerald S. 2004. A review of the effectiveness of agriculture interventions in improving nutrition outcomes. *Public Health Nutrition* 7:599-609.
- [INGENAES] Integrating Gender and Nutrition within Agricultural Extension Services. 2017. 'Assessing how agricultural technologies can change gender dynamics and food security outcomes' toolkit. University of Illinois-Urbana-Champaign: INGENAES. Accessed 24 August 2018: <http://ingenaes.illinois.edu/technology-assessment-toolkit/>
- Theis S, Lefore N, Meinzen-Dick R. et al. 2018. What happens after technology adoption? Gendered aspects of small-scale irrigation technologies in Ethiopia, Ghana, and Tanzania. *Agriculture and Human Values* 35(3):671–684. doi.org/10.1007/s10460-018-9862-8

About this brief series: Lessons for gender-responsive landscape restoration

Forest Landscape Restoration (FLR) aims to achieve ecological integrity and enhance human well-being in deforested or degraded landscapes. Evidence shows that addressing gender equality and women's rights is critical for addressing this dual objective. Against this backdrop, CIFOR and a number of partners hosted a Global Landscapes Forum workshop on FLR and gender equality in Nairobi, Kenya in November 2017. The objective of the workshop was to identify and discuss experiences, opportunities and challenges to advancing gender-responsive FLR in East African countries, as well as to join together various stakeholders working at the interface of gender and FLR as a community of practice. This brief set is a tangible outcome of this collaboration, featuring a number of useful lessons and recommendations rooted in the experience and expertise of partners in civil society, multilateral organizations, research community and private sector – all working in different ways to enhance the gender-responsiveness of restoration efforts.

The **Global Landscapes Forum (GLF)** is the world's largest knowledge-led multi-sectoral platform for integrated land use, bringing together world leaders, scientists, private sector representatives, farmers and community leaders and civil society to accelerate action towards the creation of more resilient, equitable, profitable, and climate-friendly landscapes.



Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation, Building and Nuclear Safety



Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development



RESEARCH PROGRAM ON Forests, Trees and Agroforestry

The CGIAR Research Program on Forests, Trees and Agroforestry (FTA) is the world's largest research for development program to enhance the role of forests, trees and agroforestry in sustainable development and food security and to address climate change. CIFOR leads FTA in partnership with Bioversity International, CATIE, CIRAD, ICRAF, INBAR and TBI. FTA is supported by the CGIAR Fund Donors: on.cgiar.org/CGIARFundDonors

globallandscapesforum.org | news.globallandscapesforum.org

cifor.org | forestsnews.cifor.org

Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR)

CIFOR advances human well-being, equity and environmental integrity by conducting innovative research, developing partners' capacity, and actively engaging in dialogue with all stakeholders to inform policies and practices that affect forests and people. CIFOR is a CGIAR Research Center, and leads the CGIAR Research Program on Forests, Trees and Agroforestry (FTA). Our headquarters are in Bogor, Indonesia, with offices in Nairobi, Kenya; Yaounde, Cameroon and Lima, Peru.

